

# Design, Multicomponent Synthesis, and Bioactivities of Novel Neonicotinoid Analogues with 1,4-Dihydropyridine Scaffold<sup>†</sup>

Wenwen Zhang, <sup>§</sup> Xiaobao Yang, <sup>§</sup> Weidong Chen, <sup>§</sup> Xiaoyong Xu, <sup>§</sup> Lu Li, <sup>§</sup> Hongbin Zhai, <sup>\*,#</sup> and Zhong Li<sup>\*,§</sup>

<sup>§</sup>Shanghai Key Laboratory of Chemistry Biology, Institute of Pesticides and Pharmaceuticals, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, China 200237, and <sup>#</sup>Laboratory of Modern Synthetic Organic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China 200032

Novel neonicotinoid analogues bearing a 1,4-dihydropridine scaffold were designed and synthesized by multicomponent reactions (MCRs) to enhance  $\pi - \pi$  stacking. The synthesized compounds were identified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, high-resolution mass spectroscopy, and elemental analysis. Bioassay tests showed that some of them exhibited high insecticidal activities against pea aphid (*Aphis craccivora*).

KEYWORDS: 1,4-Dihydropridine; MCRs; biological activity

## INTRODUCTION

Acting on nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) insect neuronal systems (1-4), imidacloprid (1a) (Figure 1) started the era of neonicotinoid insecticides, which have become a major insecticide class with high activities widely used for crop protection and veterinary pest control (5). As is well-known, 6-Cl-PMNI ((2chloro-5-((2-(nitromethylene)imidazolidin-1-yl)methyl)pyridine, 1b) (Figure 1) was obtained before imidacloprid and had higher binding affinity and activity than imidacloprid. Although its application was limited by its photoinstability (6) and inferior hydrophobicity (7), it is still an attractive molecule as lead compound for chemists worldwide (8, 9).

In our previous work, numerous **1b** derivatives were synthesized. Compounds **2** (**Figure 2**) were obtained by introducing a tetrahydropyridine ring. The photostability of **2** was improved as desired (10). Afterward, compounds **3** (**Figure 2**) were reported, which had a bulky group conjugated system introduced by fivemembered heterocycles. Some **3** compounds had higher activities than imidacloprid (11). The results encouraged us to consider further structure derivation of **1b**.

The research of binding model is always beneficial for molecular design. In the development of neonicotinoid insecticides, three kinds of action models were proposed by Yamamoto, Kagabu, and Casida successively (12-14). In 2007, Qian et al. suggested a new binding model of  $\pi-\pi$  interaction induced by the hydrogen bond based on theory calculation (15). Then two kinds of AChBP-imidacloprid complex crystal structures were achieved by Casida and Sattelle (16, 17), respectively. After that, Casida et al. designed compounds with extended N-substituted imine substituents and presumed the significance of the  $\pi$ -stacking formed by the amidine plane (18). Also, Kagabu et al.

\*Corresponding authors [(Z.L.) telephone +86-21-64253540, fax +86-21-64252603, e-mail lizhong@ecust.edu.cn; (H.Z.) telephone +86-21-54925163, fax +86-21-64166128, e-mail zhaih@mail.sioc.ac.cn].



Figure 1. Structures of imidacloprid (1a) and 1b.

proposed that a water bridge was formed between the neonicotinoid and the relevant amino acid at the ligand binding pocket. Novel compounds with methyl ketone, trifluoromethyl ketone, and epoxycyclopentylmethyl substituents were obtained and shown to enhance the binding affinity (19).

On the basis of the theory above, the influence factor of higher activities of compounds **3** was thought to be over. It was presumed that  $\pi - \pi$  stacking was enhanced by the big conjugated system in compounds **3**, which might influence the binding model and improve the activities. Therefore, we try to further enhance  $\pi - \pi$  stacking by introducing an aromatic bicyclic moiety.

It is well-known that the heteroaromatic moiety and nitro pharmacophore were very important in the structure of neonicotinoids, which were also included in **1b** (Figure 3). According to this analysis, reaction sites a and b (Figure 3) were to be modified, building target compound **6** (Figure 2) bearing a 1,4-dihydropyridine scaffold. The 1,4-dihydropyridine moiety was frequently used as a subunit of antiatherosclerotic, anticancer, and antidiabetic drugs (20-22). It can be achieved conveniently by multicomponent reactions (MCRs) using **1b**, aryl carbonyl compounds, and malononitrile as starting materials (23).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Part of the ECUST-Qian Pesticide Cluster.



Figure 2. Development of novel neonicotinoid analogues in our group.



Figure 3. Pharmacophore and reaction sites at 1b.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Instruments.** All melting points (mp) were obtained with a Büchi Melting Point B540 and are uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded in DMSO- $d_6$  (<sup>1</sup>H at 400 MHz and <sup>13</sup>C at 100 MHz) using TMS as the internal standard on a Bruker WP-400SY (400 MHz) spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in  $\delta$  (parts per million) values. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded under electron impact (70 eV) condition using a MicroMass GCT CA 055 instrument. Combustion analyses for elemental composition were made with an Elementar vario EL III. Analytical thinlayer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on precoated plates (silica gel 60 F254), and spots were visualized with ultraviolet (UV) light. All other solvents and reagents were used as obtained from commercial sources without further purification.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Compounds 6a-p. A solution of malononitrile (15 mmol) in anhydrous alcohol (15 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of aryl aldehyde (15 mmol) in anhydrous alcohol (15 mL) at room temperature. After 5 min of stirring at room temperature, piperidine (0.1 mmol) used as catalyst was added dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred for another 2 h, then **1b** (10 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture, refluxed for 15–20 h, and cooled to room temperature. Solid crystal products was filtered, washed with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, and dried to give desired products.

*Data for 6a*: yield, 82%; mp 223.2–223.7 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  3.93–4.01 (m, 3H), 4.08–4.17 (m, 1H), 4.72 (s, 1H), 4.74 (s, 2H), 6.54 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.98 (dd,  $J_1 = 1.4$  Hz,  $J_2 = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.15–7.23 (m, 3H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (dd,  $J_1 = 2.6$  Hz,  $J_2 = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 8.28 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  41.5, 43.9, 51.1, 51.5, 60.1, 106.2, 121.2, 124.4, 126.7, 127.0, 128.7, 131.4, 139.5, 144.8, 149.5, 149.6, 149.9, 152.9. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>17</sub>ClN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 58.75; H, 4.19; N, 20.56. Found: C, 58.77; H, 3.89; N, 20.40.

*Data for 6b:* yield, 78%; mp 253.5–253.9 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  4.02–4.15 (m, 4H), 4.70 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (s, 1H), 6.70 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.21 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (dd,  $J_1$  = 1.6 Hz,  $J_2$  = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.17 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  41.6, 43.9, 51.3, 51.8, 58.5, 105.6, 120.8, 124.0, 124.3, 128.1, 131.3, 139.5, 146.6, 149.5, 149.9, 150.0, 152.1, 152.2. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>16</sub>ClN<sub>7</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 52.93; H, 3.55; N, 21.60. Found: C, 52.90; H, 3.39; N, 21.48.

*Data for 6c:* yield, 59%; mp 244.3–245.1 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  4.01–4.15 (m, 4H), 4.69 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (s, 1H), 6.73 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.17 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (dd,  $J_1 = 2.4$  Hz,  $J_2 = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.88 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (dd,  $J_1 = 1.2$  Hz,  $J_2 = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.17 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  41.3, 44.0, 51.4, 51.5, 58.5, 105.8, 120.9, 121.4, 122.2, 124.1, 130.4, 131.2, 133.7, 139.4, 146.9, 148.1, 149.5, 149.8, 150.2, 152.3. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>16</sub>-ClN<sub>7</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 52.93; H, 3.55; N, 21.60. Found: C, 52.82; H, 3.22; N, 21.38.

*Data for 6d*: yield, 41%; mp 224.6–245.8 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  4.00–4.13 (m, 4H), 4.73 (dd,  $J_1$  = 16.0 Hz,  $J_2$  = 20.0 Hz, 2H), 4.75 (s, 1H), 6.67 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (dd,  $J_1$  = 2.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.18 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  41.8, 43.9, 51.4, 51.7, 58.6, 105.7, 109.9, 119.4, 120.9, 124.3, 127.9, 131.3, 132.7, 139.4, 149.5, 149.9, 149.9, 150.1, 152.3. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>16</sub>ClN<sub>7</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 58.14; H, 3.72; N, 22.60. Found: C, 58.00; H, 3.50; N, 22.49.

*Data for 6e*: yield, 65%; mp 246.4–247.5 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), δ 3.99–4.14 (m, 4H), 4.73 (s, 2H), 4.78 (s, 1H), 6.65 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.24 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.62 (s, 2H), 8.22 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), δ 41.6, 43.9, 51.5, 51.6, 58.9, 105.7, 111.8, 119.4, 120.9, 124.2, 129.9, 130.5, 131.0, 131.3, 132.2, 139.4, 146.3, 149.5, 149.8, 149.9, 152.4. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>16</sub>ClN<sub>7</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (M + Na), 456.0952; found, 456.0970.

*Data for 6f*: yield, 61%; mp 191.5–192.0 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>),  $\delta$  3.97–4.16 (m, 4H), 4.74 (s, 3H), 6.60 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.10 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (dd,  $J_1 = 2.6$  Hz,  $J_2 = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 8.26 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>),  $\delta$  41.1, 43.9, 51.4, 51.5, 59.5, 105.9, 119.3, 121.1, 121.2, 121.8, 124.3, 128.6, 131.4, 139.5, 144.1, 147.4, 149.6, 149.7, 149.9, 152.6. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>16</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (M + Na), 515.0822; found, 515.0832. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>16</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 51.18; H, 3.27; N, 17.05. Found: C, 50.43; H, 3.08; N, 16.59.

*Data for* **6g**: yield, 64%; mp 237.7–238.2 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>),  $\delta$  3.91–4.15 (m, 4H), 4.73 (s, 3H), 6.62 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.97 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.37–7.39 (m, 1H), 7.65 (dd, *J*<sub>1</sub> = 2.4 Hz, *J*<sub>2</sub> = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>),  $\delta$  41.4, 43.9, 51.1, 51.5, 59.2, 105.8, 121.0, 122.1, 124.3, 126.0, 129.6, 130.0, 131.0, 131.3, 139.4, 147.5, 149.5, 149.8, 149.9, 152.7. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>16</sub>BrClN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 49.25; H, 3.31; N, 17.23. Found: C, 49.19; H, 3.01; N, 17.05.

*Data for 6h:* yield, 49%; mp 261.7–262.1 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  4.01–4.14 (m, 4H), 4.72 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (s, 1H), 6.53 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.98 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (dd,  $J_1 = 2.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (dd,  $J_1 = 2.2$  Hz,  $J_2 = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 8.31 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  39.7, 43.9, 51.3, 51.8, 59.1, 105.5, 120.4, 124.5, 127.9, 129.1, 131.4, 131.6, 132.1, 133.0, 139.8, 141.5, 149.5, 149.7, 150.0, 152.7. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>15</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 50.28; H, 3.16; N, 17.59. Found: C, 50.36; H, 2.90; N, 17.38.

*Data for 6i*: yield, 65%; mp 252.1–253.0 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>),  $\delta$  3.96–4.18 (m, 4H), 4.77 (t, *J* = 17.0 Hz, 2H), 4.89 (s, 1H), 6.56 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.01 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>),  $\delta$  43.9, 51.4, 51.5, 56.5,

Scheme 1. Target Compound Synthesis via Aryl Aldehyde-Based Muliticomponet Reactions



58.9, 104.9, 116.3, 116.5, 120.6, 124.4, 124.9, 124.9, 130.6, 130. 8, 131.2, 131.2, 131.3, 132.3, 132.4, 139.6, 149.5, 149.7, 150.1, 152.5, 158.8, 160.3. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{20}H_{15}Cl_2FN_6O_2$  (M + Na), 483.0515; found, 483.0523.

*Data for 6j:* yield, 72%; mp 265.4–265.8 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  3.98–4.05 (m, 3H), 4.09–4.12 (m, 1H), 4.71 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (s, 1H), 6.53 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.89 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (dd,  $J_1$  = 2.0 Hz,  $J_2$  = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (dd,  $J_1$  = 2.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.30 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  40.1, 43.9, 51.3, 51.8, 59.0, 105.5, 120.3, 120.4, 124.5, 130.8, 131.4, 131.8, 131.9, 133.2, 139.8, 141.9, 149.5, 149.7, 150.0, 152.7. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BrCl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (M + Na), 542.9715; found, 542.9741.

*Data for* **6***k*: yield, 40%; mp 202.6–203.2 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  2.23 (s, 3H), 3.90–4.01 (m, 3H), 4.14–4.20 (m, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (s, 1H), 6.49 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.82 (dd,  $J_1 = 2.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.90 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.6$  Hz,  $J_2 = 10.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.99–7.02 (m, 1H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (dd,  $J_1 = 2.2$  Hz,  $J_2 = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 8.29 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  20.7, 37.6, 44.0, 50.8, 51.5, 59.7, 105.0, 115.5, 115.7, 120.7, 124.4, 129.2, 129.3, 130.0, 130.1, 131.0, 131.1, 131.3, 133.6, 133.6, 139.6, 149.3, 149.7, 149.9, 152.9, 157.5, 159.9. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>18</sub>ClFN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 57.21; H, 4.12; N, 19.06. Found: C, 56.98; H, 3.78; N, 18.79.

*Data for 6l*: yield, 32%; mp 257.4–258.4 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  2.39 (s, 3H), 3.97–4.09 (m, 4H), 4.72 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.75 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (dd,  $J_1 = 2.6$  Hz,  $J_2 = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 8.27 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  18.3, 38.1, 43.9, 51.4, 52.0, 59.9, 105.5, 105.7, 106.4, 115.4, 115.6, 120.8, 124.3, 131.5, 132.9, 133.0, 134.3, 139.7, 146.4, 146.5, 149.0, 149.6, 150.0, 152.6, 156.4, 158.8. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>-BrFN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 48.53; H, 3.30; N, 16.17. Found: C, 48.28; H, 2.98; N, 16.02.

*Data for 6m*: yield, 63%; mp 236.2–236.9 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  2.41 (s, 3H), 3.96–4.14 (m, 4H), 4.74 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.59 (dd,  $J_1 = 2.4$  Hz,  $J_2 = 10.0$  Hz, 1H), 6.85–6.90 (m, 1H), 7.09–7.12 (m, 1H), 7.39 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (dd,  $J_1 = 2.4$  Hz,  $J_2 = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.31 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  18.7, 38.3, 43.9, 51.1, 52.0, 60.6, 106.6, 113.4, 113.7, 113.8, 114.0, 120.9, 124.4, 130.7, 130.7, 131.5, 131.7, 131.8, 139.6, 146.5, 146.6, 149.0, 149.6, 149.9, 152.9, 160.4, 162.8. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>18</sub>ClFN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (M + Na), 463.1061; found, 463.1056.

*Data for 6n*: yield, 26%; mp 236.1–236.8 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  4.07 (m, 4H), 4.75 (s, 2H), 5.03 (s, 1H), 6.54 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.03 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.62 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (dd,  $J_1 = 2.4$  Hz,  $J_2 = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.30 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  37.9, 43.9, 51.7, 51.8, 60.3, 106.7, 120.1, 122.5, 124.5, 125.5, 125.6, 131.5, 131.9, 132.2, 133.2, 139.8, 144.4, 149.7, 149.7,

150.1, 152.3. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{21}H_{15}Cl_2F_3N_6O_2~(M\,+\,H),$  511.0664; found, 511.0677.

*Data for 60*: yield, 10%; mp 217.1–217.3 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>),  $\delta$  3.73 (s, 3H), 3.89–4.41 (m, 4H), 4.49 (s, 1H), 4.66 (s, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.75–6.77 (m, 2H), 6.87–6.90 (m, 1H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (dd,  $J_1 = 2.8$  Hz,  $J_2 = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.27 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>),  $\delta$  42.9, 43.9, 45.7, 48.4, 51.1, 51.4, 55.5, 60.5, 106.6, 114.1, 124.4, 124.8, 127.7, 131.5, 136.8, 139.5, 139.7, 149.4, 149.6, 149.9, 158.4. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>19</sub>ClN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (M + H), 439.1285; found, 439.1274.

*Data for* **6***p*: yield, 19%; mp 225.1–226.2 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>),  $\delta$  2.32 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 3H), 3.98–4.15 (m, 4H), 4.76 (s, 2H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 6.48 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.59 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.95–6.99 (m, 1H), 7.01–7.04 (m, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (dd,  $J_1$  = 2.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.32 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>),  $\delta$  10.1, 10.2, 37.7, 43.9, 51.2, 51.8, 60.7, 106.8, 112.9, 113.2, 120.9, 121.7, 121.8, 123.3, 124.5, 127.6, 127.6, 131.5, 139.7, 148.9, 149.7, 149.9, 152.8, 159.2, 161.6. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>18</sub>CIFN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (M + H), 441.1242; found, 441.1227.

**Biological Assay.** All compounds were dissolved in acetone and diluted with water containing Triton X-100 (0.1 mg/L) to obtain series concentrations of 500.0, 50.0, and 25.0 mg/L and others for bioassays.

As previously tested (10), cowpea aphids (*Aphis craccivora*) were dipped according to a slightly modified FAO dip test (24). Tender shoots of soybean with 40–60 healthy apterous adults were dipped in diluted solutions of the chemicals containing Triton X-100 (0.1 mg/L) for 5 s, the superfluous fluid was removed, and the shoots were placed in the conditioned room ( $25 \pm 1 \,^{\circ}$ C, 50% RH). Water containing Triton X-100 (0.1 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) was used as control. Mortality was assessed after 24 h; the control mortality was 4.3%. Each treatment had three repetitions, and the data were corrected and subjected to probit analysis using SPSS software.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Synthesis. The neonicotinoid analogues bearing a 1,4-dihydropyridine scaffold (6a-p) were synthesized as shown in Scheme 1. The assembly of 6 can be explained via the initial Michael addition of 7 to the ylidenic bond in 1b, leading to the formation of an acyclic intermediate 8, which cyclized into the intermediate 9 via nucleophilic attack of an NH group on a cyano carbon, followed by tautomerization to the final product 6. The formation of 7 is via Knoevenagel condensation reaction of malononitrile 4 and appropriate aromatic aldehyde 5.

The search for one-pot condensation reaction parameters started with nitromethylene (**1b**), malononitrile (**4**), and *p*-nitrobenzaldehyde (**5a**) in molar ratios from 1:1:1 to 1:2:2 in boiling ethanolic piperidine from 2 to 17 h; desirable product could be obtained up to 78% yield. However, no substantial gain in the

Table 1. Insecticidal Activities of Neonicotinoid Analogues 6a-p Bearing a 1,4-Dihydropyridine Scaffold against Pea Aphid (Aphis craccivora)

compd	R	mortality (%) in vivo at 1 day (500 mg $L^{-1}$ )	$LC_{50} \text{ (mmol } L^{-1}\text{)}$
6a	Ph	83.1	nt <sup>a</sup>
6b	$4 - NO_2 - C_6 H_4$	77	nt
6c	$3 - NO_2 - C_6 H_4$	91.9	0.07903
6d	$4-CN-C_6H_4$	93.7	0.1746
6e	$3-CN-C_6H_4$	80	nt
6f	4-CF <sub>3</sub> O-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	100	0.09797
6g	$3-Br-C_6H_4$	91.8	0.19702
6h	2,4-DiCI-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	93.4	0.1326
6i	2-F-4-CI-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	88	nt
6j	2-Cl-4-Br-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	85	nt
6k	2-F-4-Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	72.9	nt
61	2-Br-4-F-5-Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	75.9	nt
6m	2-CH <sub>3</sub> -5-F-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	100	0.09614
6n	2-CF <sub>3</sub> -4-CI-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	100	0.06159
60	4-OCH <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	100	0.00975
6р	2-CH <sub>3</sub> -3-F-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	100	0.00345
imidacloprid		100 <sup>b</sup>	0.03502 <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Not tested. <sup>b</sup>Data from Shao et al. (11).

product yield was observed with increasing **4** and **5a** loading from 150 to 200 mol %. Therefore, the optimum usage was fixed at 1:1.5:1.5 (**1b/2/3a**) for all subsequent experiments. To evaluate the novel analogues, compounds **6b**–**p** (**Table 1**) were achieved from 15 additional aryl aldehydes (**5b**–**p**) using the optimized reaction conditions. Unfortunately, no desirable product could be obtained from alkyl aldehydes such as butyl aldehyde or heterocyclic aldehydes such as pyridine aldehyde, furaldehyde, and thienyl aldehyde. The structures of the title compounds were well characterized by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, HRMS, and elemental analysis.

**Biological Activities.** Compounds **6c**, **6d**, **6f**, **6g**, **6h**, **6m**, **6n**, **6o**, and **6p** exhibited good insecticidal activity against pea aphid (**Table 1**) and had >90% mortality at 500 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. The LC<sub>50</sub> values of those compounds were 0.07903, 0.1746, 0.09797, 0.19702, 0.1326, 0.09614, 0.06159, 0.00975, and 0.00345 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, whereas that of imidacloprid was 0.03502 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>. It was concluded that compounds **6o** and **6p** showed 3.6- and 10.2-fold potency compared with imidacloprid. For the effect of substituents at the phenyl group, it was observed that compounds demonstrated good activities with either electron-withdrawing or electron-donating groups. Nevertheless, an electron-donating group is favorable for high activities from the data analysis present. Further study is underway.

In conclusion, various neonicotinoid analogues bearing a 1,4dihydropridine scaffold were synthesized successfully via multicomponent reactions. Most of compounds showed good insecticidal activities at 500 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, which implied a new method of molecular design, which is to obtain highly active compounds by enhancing  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking.

**Supporting Information Available:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compounds **6**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Liu, M.; Casida, J. E. High affinity binding of [<sup>3</sup>H] imidacloprid in the insect acetylcholine receptor. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* **1993**, *46*, 40–46.
- (2) Mori, K.; Okumoto, T.; Kawahara, N.; Ozoe, Y. Interaction of dinotefuran and its analogues with nicotinic acetylcholine receptors of cockroach nerve cords. *Pest Manage. Sci.* 2001, 58, 190–196.
- (3) Tomizawa, M.; Casida, J. E. Neonicotinoid insecticide toxicology: mechanisms of selective action. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 2005, 45, 247–268.

- (4) Matsuda, K.; Shimomura, M.; Ihara, M.; Akamatsu, M.; Sattelle, D. B. Neonicotinoids show selective and diverse actions on their nicotinic receptor targets: electrophysiology, molecular biology, and receptor modeling studies. *Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem.* 2005, 69, 1442–1452.
- (5) Kagabu, S. Chloronicotinyl insecticides-discovery, application and future perspective. *Rev. Toxicol.* 1997, 1, 75–129.
- (6) Okazawa, A.; Akamatsu, M.; Ohoka, A.; Nishiwaki, H.; Cho, W. J.; Nakagawa, Y.; Nishimura, K.; Ueno1, T. Prediction of the binding mode of imidacloprid and related compounds to housefly head acetylcholine receptors using three-dimensional QSAR analysis. *Pestic. Sci.* 1998, 54, 134–144.
- (7) Nishiwaki, H.; Nakagawa, Y.; Ueno, T.; Kagabu, S.; Nishimura, K. Insecticidal and binding activities of N3-substituted imidacloprid derivatives against the housefly *Musca domestica* and the α-bungarotoxin binding sites of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Pest Manage*. *Sci.* 2001, *57*, 810–814.
- (8) Kagabu, S.; Medej, S. Chloronicotinyl insecticides. Part VI. Stability comparison of imidacloprid and related compounds under simulated sunlight, hydrolysis conditions, and to oxygen. *Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem.* 1995, 59, 980–985.
- (9) Yamamoto, I.; Tomizawa, M.; Satio, T.; Miyamoto, T.; Walcott, E. C.; Sumikawa, W. Structural factors contributing to insecticidal and selective actions of neonicotinoids. *Arch. Insect. Biochem. Physiol.* **1998**, *37*, 24–32.
- (10) Tian, Z. Z.; Shao, X. S.; Li, Z.; Qian, X. H.; Huang, Q. C. Synthesis, insecticidal activity and QSAR of novel nitromethylene neonicotinoids with tetrahydropyridine fixed *cis* configuration and exo-ring ether modification. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2007, 55, 2288–2292.
- (11) Shao, X. S.; Li, Z.; Qian, X. H.; Xu, X. Y. Design, synthesis, and insecticidal activities of novel analogues of neonicotinoids: replacement of nitromethylene with nitroconjugated system. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2009, 57, 951–957.
- (12) Tomizawa, M.; Yamamoto, I. Structure–activity relationships of nicotinoids and imidacloprid analogs. *Nihon Noyaku Gakkaishi* 1993, 18, 91–98.
- (13) Kagabu, S.; Matsuno, H. Chloronicotinyl insecticides. 8. Crystal and molecular structures of imidacloprid and analogous compounds. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1997**, *45*, 276–281.
- (14) Tomizawa, M.; Lee, D. L.; Casida, J. E. Neonicotinoid insecticides: molecular features conferring selectivity for insect versus mammalian nicotinic receptors. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2000, 48, 6016–6024.
- (15) Wang, Y. L.; Cheng, J. G.; Qian, X. H.; Li, Z. Actions between neonicotinoids and key residues of insect nAChR based on an ab initio quantum chemistry study: hydrogen bonding and cooperative π-π interaction. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2007**, *15*, 2624–2630.
- (16) Tomizawa, M.; Talley, T. T.; Maltby, D.; Durkin, K. A.; Medzihradszky, K. F.; Burlingame, A. L.; Taylor, P.; Casida, J. E. Mapping the

elusive neonicotinoid binding site. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. deriv 2007, 104, 9075–9080. Med.

- (17) Ihara, M.; Okajima, T.; Yamashita, A.; Oda, T.; Hirata, K.; Nishiwaki, H.; Morimoto, T.; Akamatsu, M.; Ashikawa, Y.; Kuroda, S.; Mega, R.; Kuramitsu, S.; Sattelle, D. B.; Matsuda, K. Crystal structures of *Lymnaea stagnalis* AChBP in complex with neonicotinoid insecticides imidacloprid and clothianidin. *Invert. Neurosci.* 2008, *8*, 71–81.
- (18) Tomizawa, M.; Kagabu, S.; Ohno, I.; Durkin, K. A.; Casida, J. E. Potency and selectivity of trifluoroacetylimino and pyrazinoylimino nicotinic insecticides and their fit at a unique binding site niche. *J. Med. Chem.* **2008**, *51*, 4213–4218.
- (19) Ohno, I.; Tomizawa, M.; Durkin, K. A.; Casida, J. E.; Kagabu, S. Neonicotinoid substituents forming a water bridge at the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2009, 57, 2436–2440.
- (20) Sausins, A.; Duburs, G. Synthesis of 1,4-dihydropyridines by cyclocondensation reactions. *Heterocycles* 1988, 27, 269–289.
- (21) Mager, P. P.; Coburn, R. A.; Solo, A. J.; Triggle, D. J.; Rothe, H. QSAR, diagnostic statistics and molecular modeling of 1,4-dihydro-pyridine calcium antagonists: a difficult road ahead. *Drug Design Discov.* **1992**, *8*, 273–289.
- (22) Manhold, R.; Jablonka, B.; Voigdt, W.; Schoenfinger, K. F.; Schravan, K. Calcium- and calmodulin-antagonism of elnadipine

derivatives: a comparison of structure–activity relationships. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **1992**, *27*, 229–235.

- (23) Sharanin, Y. A.; Baskakov, Y. A.; Abramenko, Y. T.; Putsykin, Y. G.; Vasil'ev, A. F.; Nazarova, E. B. Cyclization of nitriles. III. Synthesis of *o*-cyanoanilines using the Thorpe reaction. *Zh. Org. Khim.* **1980**, *16*, 2192–2204.
- (24) FAO. Recommended methods for the detection and measurement of resistance of agricultural pests to pesticides: method for adult aphids; FAO method 17. FAO Plant Prot. Bull. 1979, 18, 6–9.

Received for review June 15, 2009. Revised manuscript received August 28, 2009. Accepted November 19, 2009. This work was financially supported by National Key Project for Basic Research (2003CB114405), National Natural Science Foundation of China (2087203), Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET070284), and National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (863 Program, 2006AA10A201). We also appreciate partial support from the Shanghai Foundation of Science and Technology (064319022, 08391911600), Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project, Project B507 and 111 Project (No. B07023).